Color & Color-IR Films for Soil Identification²

An evaluation for 12 soils shows that they can be divided into two groups using aerial photographs.

INTRODUCTION

THE OBJECTIVES of this study were to evaluate the influence of soil hues on the optical densities of Kodak Ektachrome³ Aero 8442 (CC) and Kodak Ektachrome Infrared Aero 8443 (EIR) Films, and to determine which of the above films is best suited for use in soil identification.

Anson (1966, 1968) reported that CC film

To date researchers have attempted to differentiate between soil types by visually comparing aerial photographs but the procedure is slow, tedious, and somewhat inconsistent. The inconsistency arises from differences among photo interpreters in identifying targets and in color-observing capacity.

CC and EIR films are well adapted to automatic analysis because they record in

ABSTRACT: Ektachrome Infrared Aero Film 8443 (EIR) and Kodak Ektachrome Aero Film 8442 (CC) were tested for use in identifying soil types. Twelve air-dried soils, differing in Munsell color designations, were photographed with the CC and EIR films. Optical density measurements were made on film transparencies with a densitometer using red-, green-, blue-, and whitelight band-pass filters. Duncan's statistical test indicates that the soils can be separated into two groups: soils with a low chroma (soils grey or neutral in color), which are distinguished best with EIR film, and soils with high chroma (soils with high color), which are distinguished easiest with CC film. Optical densities measured using red filters discriminated best among low chroma soils, while optical densities obtained with blue filters discriminated best among the high chroma soils.

was better than *EIR* film for identifying soils with dry surfaces. However, *EIR* film was better for identifying freshly cultivated soils with a moist surface.

¹ Agricultural Research Technician, Research Plant Physiologist, and Research Soil Scientist, respectively, U. S. Dept. of Agriculture, Weslaco, Texas 78596.

² Contribution from the Soil and Water Conservation Research Division, Agricultural Research Service, U.S.D.A., in cooperation with the Texas Agricultural 'Experiment Station, Texas A&M University. This study was supported in part by the National Aeronautics and Space Administration under Contract No. 160-75-01-07-10.

³ Use of a Company or Product name by the Department does not imply approval or recommendation of the product to the exclusion of others which may also be suitable.

three parameters; CC film records three parts of the 400- to 700-nm wavelength interval, and EIR film with a G 15 filter records three parts of the 500- to 1000-nm wavelength interval. Optical density can be converted to electronic signals that can be used to determine hues (type of color), values (darkness or brightness), and chromas (color saturation) of soils for their identification. Therefore, a statistical comparison between CC and EIRfilms is clearly needed.

DESIGN OF STUDY

Twelve soils of different colors were sampled, oven-dried, and passed through a 2-mm sieve. The particle size distribution of the 2-mm fraction was made according to the Bouyoucos method (1936). Sieved oven-dried soils were placed in $1 \times 11 \times 16$ -inch black-coated pans, leveled with a straight edge, and the pans with soils were randomly assigned to approximately square blocks of a randomized complete block design. One of the three replications of the 12 soils is depicted in Plate 1. Numbers beneath each soil refer to their numbers and description in Table 1.

A 6-inch space was left between pans in both the horizontal and vertical directions. The pans were placed on an 8×8 -foot square of sheetrock that had been painted with nonreflective black paint to remove background interference.

Photographs of the soils were taken at 1157, 1210, and 1228 hours, central standard time, July 23, 1969. The times correspond to replications one, two, and three, respectively. Photographs were taken with two synchronized, tandem-mounted Hasselblad cameras equipped with 50-mm focal length lenses. One camera contained 70-mm CC film and the other contained 70-mm EIR film. A 15 G filter (approximately 100 percent absorption edge at 500 nanometers) was used with the EIR film. Photographs were taken with the camera lenses 12 feet above the soils. Cameras were randomly assigned positions for each replication. Each replication (12 pans of soil) was taken on a single exposure. Exposure for each replication was conducted at three fstops-above, at, and below that indicated by a Weston light meter. The best exposure was selected for each replication for microdensitometry by a consensus of five observers. The films were developed according to Kodak recommendations (1967).

Optical density measurements were made with a Joyce-Loebl microdensitometer using four filters [red (Wratten 92), green (Wratten 93), blue (Wratten 94), and white (optical clear glass)] in random order on each soil sample within each replication (time of exposure) for both *CC* and *EIR* films.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The 12 soils are considered below in the following two significantly different (p=0.05) groups: (1) seven soils giving the highest optical densities with a red filter placed between the densitometer's light source and *EIR* film transparencies (Figure 1), and (2) five soils giving the highest optical densities with a blue band-pass filter and *CC* film transparencies (Figure 2). For comparative purposes, however, optical density values for *CC* and *EIR* films are included in both Figures 1 and 2.

Differences among soils showing the highest optical densities with a red filter and *EIR* transparencies are portrayed in Figure 2. Values on the ordinate (y-axis) are optical densities (log₁₀) (1/transmission); color band pass filters and soil types are given on the X_1 and X_2 axes, respectively. The red filter gave the significantly highest densities (p = 0.05) for soils 1 to 6 and soil 12 for *EIR* film transparencies compared with the lower densities for the *CC* film transparencies. The soils with the highest densities on *EIR* film arranged from lowest to highest values are

1 2	Revnosa				Location ^b
2		Silty clay loam	10YR	7/2	L 12 F 2
	Reynosa	Silty clay loam	10YR	5.5/1	L 12 F 15
3	Harlingen	Clay	10YR	6/2	L 12 F 42
4	Harlingen	Silty clay	10YR	5/2	L 12 F 63
5	Hidalgo	Sandy clay loam	10YR	4/2	L 12 F 66
6	Raymondville	Sandy clay loam	10YR	5/2	L11 F 4
7	Delmita	Fine sandy loam	7.5YR	4/4	Delmita, Tx.
8	Delmita	Fine sandy loam	5YR	5/6	Delmita, Tx.
9	Delmita	Fine sandy loam	5YR	4/6	Delmita, Tx.
10	McAllen	Fine sandy loam	7.5YR	6/4	E. McCook
11	McAllen	Fine sandy loam	7.5YR	8/2	E. Harlingen Arrovo
12	Romodero	Sandy clay loam	5YR	6/1	La Feria, Tx.

 TABLE 1. DESCRIPTION OF SOILS USED. SOIL NUMBER CORRESPONDS TO

 TO THOSE GIVEN IN THE SOIL PHOTOGRAPH, PLATE 1

^a Munsell color data taken from Munsell Soil Color Charts, Munsell Color Company, Inc., 1954 Edition. YR = hue, number preceding / is value (brightness); number following / is chroma (color saturation). ^b L is flight line number; F is field number; Delmita soil and those below it in the table are not on a flight line.



PLATE 1. One replication of the 12 soils showing color differences on CC film. Numbers refer to the numbered soil series and types in Table 1 with Munsell color data.

1, 5, 6, 2, 3, 4, and 12. Comparisons (Duncan's multiple range test, p = 0.05) among soils of mean density values obtained with the red filter indicate that soils 2, 5, and 6 were alike and soils 2, 3, and 6 were alike. All other comparisons among soils were statistically significant. Careful examination indicates that these soils were affected by hue (type of color) or value (brightness). For example, in terms of hue (Table 1), soils 12 and 4 had Munsell hues (color) of 5YR and 10YR, respectively. Soil 12, therefore, was more red than soil 4 (Plate 1), and was better distinguished with EIR than with CC film. For comparions of values, soils 5 and 1 had comparable Munsell hues of 10YR, but their values (brightness) were 4 and 7, respectively. Soil 5, therefore, was darker than soil 1; it was better distinguished with EIR film. Even though these comparisons have been based on hue and value, this group of soils (1 to 6 and 12, Figure 1) had low chroma (color saturation), and they were grey or neutral in color (Plate 1).

Figure 2 shows results for soils giving the highest optical densities with a blue bandpass filter and *CC* film transparencies. In this respect, soils 7, 8, 9, 10, and 11 had significantly (p=0.05) higher optical density values for *CC* compared with *EIR* film transparencies. All comparisons among soils using



FIG. 1. The optical density for each filter used on both films for the group of seven soils giving the highest optical densities with a red filter placed between the densitometer's light source and Ektachrome Infrared Aero (EIR) Film transparencies.



FIG. 2. The optical density for each filter used on both films for the group of five soils giving the highest optical densities with a blue filter placed between the densitometer's light source and Ektachrome Aero (CC) Film transparencies.

a blue band-pass filter and CC film transparencies were statistically significant, p = 0.05. For example, soil 8 (highest density) and soil 11 (lowest density), Table 1, had Munsell hues of 5YR and 7.5YR, and different values of 5 and 8, respectively. Soil 8 was more color saturated (high chroma) than soil 11, (Plate 1), and was best distinguished with CC compared with EIR film. Soils in this group with high chroma (No. 7 through 10) were best distinguished with CC film.

Results for the two films show that the 12 soils considered here can be divided into two groups for soil identification with aerial photographs. First, measurements of optical densities on EIR film transparencies using a red band-pass filter are best to distinguish soils with low chroma (soils grey or neutral in color). Secondly, measurements of optical densities on CC film transparencies using a blue band-pass filter are best to distinguish soils with a high chroma (soils with a high color). Several soils within each group (CC

vs. EIR film) can be further identified by differences in hues (color) or values (brightness) on the films.

References

Anson, A., 1966, "Color Photo Comparison," Pho-

Iogrammetric Engineering 32: 286–297.
Anson, A., 1968, "Developments in Aerial Color Photography for Terrain Analysis," *Photogram*metric Engineering 34: 1048-1051.

- Bouyoucos, G. J., 1936, "Directions for Making Mechanical Analyses of Soils by the Hydrom-eter Method," *Soil Sci.* 42: 225–228.
- Rib, H. T., 1968, "Color Measurements," IN: Manual of Color Aerial Photography, Am. Soc. of Photogrammetry, Falls Church, Virginia. p. 12 - 24.
- Steel, R. G. D. and J. H. Torrie, 1960, "Principles and Procedures of Statistics," McGraw-Hill, New York, 481 p.

The 12th Quadrennial

International Congress of Photogrammetry July 23-August 4, 1972

Two full weeks Largest instrument exhibition Technical tours nical conferences on:

★ Aerial photography and navigation ★ Mapping instruments ★ Aerotriangulation 🛧 Mapping 🛧 Special applications 🛧 Education, terminology, bibliography, history 🛠 Photo interpretation.

Plan now to bring your family, attend the Congress, and have a summer vacation in Eastern Canada. A splendid program is planned for the ladies. This will be only the second time the Congress will have convened in North America; the other was in 1952.

	Price to Members	Price to Nonmembers
Annual March Conventions		
1968—428 pages, 1969—415 pages, 1970—769 pages; 5.8×8.5 inches	\$2.50	\$ 5.00
Fall Conventions		
Portland, Oregon, 1969. 363 pages, 39 papers Denver, Colorado, 1970. 542 pages, 33 papers 5.8×8.5 inches	2.50	5.00
Remote Sensing		
21 selected papers, 1966, 290 pages, $8\frac{1}{2} \times 11$ inches	2.00	3.00
Computational Symposium, 1970		
32 papers 247 pages 55×85 inches	5 00	10.00

364

22046.