## **Orientation and Construction of Models**

YOUR JOURNAL has recently carried three long  $y - y_p =$ <br>articles on orientation and construction of  $c = \frac{r_{12}(X - X_s) + r_{22}(Y - Y_s) + r_{32}(Z - Z_s)}{r_{12}(X - X_s) + r_{22}(Y_s) + r_{32}(Z - Z_s)}$ models in close-range photogrammetry by Atsushi Okamoto (October, November, and December, tween geometric and algebraic methods of considerable methods of consideration of an orthogonal matrix **R,**  $\dot{x}$ ,  $y$  are expected at the problem. He states in his opening parallel problem and photograph coordinates, XYZ ering the problem. He states in his opening para-<br>
graph that little has been done in the way of in-<br>
of a point in object space,  $X_s$ ,  $Y_s$ ,  $Z_s$  are the graph that little has been done in the way of in-<br>vestigating the problem when using non-metric coordinates of the perspective center in the vestigating the problem when using non-metric coordinates of the perspective center in the coordinates<br>cameras but then goes on to jgnore the disturbing same object system,  $x_p$ ,  $y_p$  are the coordinates cameras but then goes on to ignore the disturbing same object system,  $x_p$ ,  $y_p$  are the coordinates<br>feature of non-metric cameras, that is the lack of of the principal point in the photograph coorfeature of non-metric cameras, that is, the lack of of the principal point in the photograph coor-<br>central perspective geometry and so the article dinate system, and c is the principal distance. central perspective geometry, and so the article<br>really relates to metric photography so that we can (d) Equations D2 are a special case of Equations really relates to metric photography so that we can consider the collinearity condition between image  $D1$  when  $Z' = c$ . That is, we are transforming and object vectors to be valid.

add anything useful to the body of knowledge on formation is not reversible. This is seen math-<br>this subject and feel that there is a danger inherent ematically in that the matrix of **A** in Equations this subject and feel that there is a danger inherent ematically in that the matrix of A is<br>in this kind of any roach which confuses quite sen. D1 becomes singular in this case. in this kind of approach which confuses quite separate bases of photogrammetry. E. H. Thompson Relating these axioms to Okamoto's equations, set out these confusions very clearly in a series of we can see that his Equations 8 and 15 are of the papers (Thompson, 1965, 196 papers (Thompson, 1965, 1968, 1971, 1975), three same form as Equations D1 but he does not state<br>of which are published in the volume, *Photo-* that when relating these equations to the photoof which are published in the volume, *Photo-* that when relating these equations to the photo-<br>grammetry and Surveying, recently reviewed in graphic case the matrix of coefficients is singular. grammetry and Surveying, recently reviewed in graphic case the matrix of coefficients is singular.<br>your journal (Dowman, 1981). I would be grateful Fountions I refer to this case but there seems little your journal (Dowman, 1981). I would be grateful Equations 1 refer to this case but there seems little for the equations to the errors for the opportunity of summarizing the main advantage in relating the equations to the errors<br>points for the benefit of your readers.

$$
X' = \frac{a_{11}X + a_{12}Y + a_{13}Z + a_{14}}{a_{41}X + a_{42}Y + a_{43}Z + a_{44}}
$$
  

$$
Y' = \frac{a_{21}X + a_{22}Y + a_{23}Z + a_{24}}{(D1)}
$$

$$
Z' = \frac{a_{31}X + a_{32}Y + a_{33}Z + a_{34}}{a_{11}X + a_{12}Y + a_{13}Z + a_{14}}
$$

resenting the figures.<br>(b) Any projective transformation can be ex-<br>It is a

- 
- rived geometrically are ship too far.

$$
x - x_p =
$$
\n
$$
- c \frac{r_{11}(X - X_s) + r_{21}(Y - Y_s) + r_{31}(Z - Z_s)}{r_{13}(X - X_s) + r_{23}(Y - Y_s) + r_{33}(Z - Z_s)}
$$
\nBopp, H., and H. Krauss, 1978. An orie  
\n**horizon method for non-tonggraph**

$$
- \frac{r_{12}(X - X_s) + r_{22}(Y - Y_s) + r_{32}(Z - Z_s)}{r_{13}(X - X_s) + r_{23}(Y - Y_s) + r_{33}(Z - Z_s)}
$$

where the elements  $r_{11}, \ldots, r_{33}$  are dependent elements of an orthogonal matrix **R**, *x*, *y* are

three-dimensional space to a plane, a distance  $c$  from the perspective center, and the trans-In this context I do not believe that the papers c from the perspective center, and the trans-<br>Id apything useful to the body of knowledge on formation is not reversible. This is seen math-

points for the benefit of your readers.<br>First let me state some axioms:<br>First let me state some axioms:<br> $\frac{1}{2}$  we are discussing the geometry of the image we are discussing the geometry of the image (a) Any two figures in three-dimensional space forming process. It should also be noted that the may be related by a projective transformation collinearity equations can be written in the form of may be related by a projective transformation collinearity equations can be written in the form of which may be expressed as Equation 7 but that there are still only nine inde-Equation 7 but that there are still only nine independent elements and indeed Thompson (1971) and Bopp and Kraus (1978) also use these equations and relate them to the general linear trans- $\frac{a_{21}X + a_{22}Y + a_{23}Z + a_{24}}{a_{41}X + a_{42}Y + a_{43}Z + a_{44}}$  (D1) formation (Equation 1) but point out the depen-<br>  $\frac{a_{21}X + a_{22}Y + a_{33}Z + a_{44}}{x_{41}x_{42}x_{43}}$  (D1) formation (Equation 1) but point out the dependence of the 11 elements with the nine elements of the collinearity equations and show that these  $a_{31}X + a_{32}Y + a_{33}Z + a_{34}$  can be handled by the use of constraints. This seems a more satisfactory approach where the seems a more satisfactory approach where the seems a more satisfactory approach where the mathematical model of the image formation is not where the elements  $a_{11}, \ldots, a_{44}$ , forming a confused with errors in the measuring device. The matrix **A**, are independent and *XYC* and relative simplicity of the direct solution of the matrix **A,** are independent and  $XYC$  and relative simplicity of the direct solution of the  $XY'Z'$  are three-dimensional coordinates repequations is an attraction of using them in certain

Any projective transformation can be ex-<br>pressed as a perspective and a transformation.<br>(This explains the theoretical background to<br>rectification.)<br>this are straightforward However I do not think rectification.)<br>(c) The collinearity equations which can be de-<br>that the subject is advanced by taking the relationthat the subject is advanced by taking the relation-

- X~) + rz3(Y - Y~) + r33(z - z~) **Bopp, H., and H. Krauss, 1978. An orientation and cali-**  (D2) **bration method for non-topographic applications,** 

PHOTOGRAMMETRIC ENGINEERING & REMOTE SENSING, 1983<br>
Photogrammetric Engineering and Remote Sensing - , 1971. Space resection without interior orienta-<br>
9(44):1191-1196.<br>
Photogrammetric Record 7(37):39-45. 9(44): 1191-1196. tion, Photogrammetric Record 7(37):39-45.

Dowman, I. J., 1981. Book review. Photogrammetric , 1975. Resection in space, Photogrammetric Rec-<br>Engineering and Remote Sensing 9(47):1134. The ord 8(45):333-334. Engineering and Remote Sensing 9(47):1134.

Thompson, E. H., 1965. A perspective theorem and rectification, Photogrammetria 20:143-161.

, 1968. The projective theory of relative orientation, Photogrammetria 23:67-75.

### **Author's Response**

I <u>bo</u> Not wish to enter into a protracted discussion with Dowman on the orientation problem<br>of a stereo pair of photographs taken with popof a stereo pair of photographs taken with nonmetric cameras, because I believe that almost all of his criticisms are based on his misinterpretation of my papers. Thus, I shall be as brief as possible.

(1) He states that my orientation theories are valid only for metric cameras, because I go on to ignore the disturbing features of non-metric cameras. This is a clear misunderstanding. A metric camera is defined as a camera whose interior orientation parameters (conventionally three elements) are known and also whose disturbing features such as lens distortion and film deformation are negligibly small. Film deformation and lens distortion are neglected in the discussion of my papers, because parameters describing the nonlinear part of the disturbing features can be determined from the coplanarity condition of corresponding rays, and those describing the linear part are absorbed by the coefficients of the collinearity equations (Hallert, 1956; Abdel-Aziz and Karara, 1971; Karara, 1972; Koelbl, 1972; Karara and Abdel-Aziz, 1974; Okamoto, 1982).

(2) I disagree with Dowman's opinion that my papers do not add anything useful to the body of knowledge on this subject. The characteristics of the coplanarity condition of corresponding rays, those of the central projective one-to-one correspondence between the model and object spaces, and those of the model construction theory with multiple photographs taken with an overlap have not been fully clarified for pictures taken with non-metric cameras.

(3) He criticizes that there seems to be confusions and contradictions in the presentation of my papers. This criticism is clearly based on an assumption that the general case where a picture has 11 independent central perspective parameters should never occur in photogrammetry. However, many photogrammetrists, e.g., Abdel-Aziz and Karara (1971), Faig (1975), Moniwa (1976, 1981) and others have solved the general collinearity condition of photogrammetry and reported that excellent results can be obtained. In addition, the geometrical characteristics of the general orientation problem of a stereo pair of pictures must first be clarified so as to investigate those of the orientation problem for various special cases in close-range photogrammetry.

(4) Some axioms in projective geometry and photogrammetry cited by Dowman are written in many textbooks and are quite well known. His criticism that the axiom (d) is not considered in the paragraph for the characteristics of the general central projective one-to-one correspondence between two three-dimensional spaces,\* is quite unreasonable, because I investigate in that paragraph the geometrical properties of the one-to-one correspondence between two three-dimensional spaces such as the model and object spaces. The axiom (d) is valid for the relationship between an object space and a plane (the picture taken).

(5) I also analyze geometrically a special case where a photograph has nine independent central projective parameters (the six exterior and three interior orientation elements in conventional photogrammetry). An algebraic approach to the special case is possible, as Dowman points out, by introducing necessary constraints between the coefficients of the collinearity equations in the form of Equation 7 in Part I.

#### REFERENCES

- Abdel-Aziz, Y. I., and H. M. Karara, 1971. Direct Linear Transformation into Object Space Coordinates in Close-Range Photogrammetry, in Proceedings of the Symposium on Close-Range Photogrammetry, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, Urbana, 11 linois, pp. 1-18.
- Faig, W., 1975. Calibration of Close-Range Photogrammetric Systems: Mathematical Formulation, Photogrammetric Engineering and Remote Sensing, Vol. 41, No. 12, pp. 1479-1486.
- Hallert, B., 1956. Über die Bestimmung der radialen Verzeichnung von Luftaufnahmen, Zeitschrift für Vermessungswesen, No. 4, pp. 139-142.

Karara, H. M., 1972. Simple Cameras for Close-Range

\* The term "two three-dimensional spaces" does not mean a two-dimensional space and a three-dimensional space.

**-1.1. Dowrnan University College London** 

- Karara, H. M., and Y. I. Abdel-Aziz, 1974. Accuracy As- with Bundles, *Photogrammetric.* 11-29. pects of Non-Metric Imageries, *Photogrammetric Engineering*, Vol. 40, No. 9, pp. 1107-1117.
- mern, *Bildmessung und Luftbildwesen*, No. 1, pp. 31-37.
- Moniwa, H., **1976.** A Flexible Method of Self-Calibration for Aerial and Close-Range Photogrammetric Systems, Presented paper to the XI11 Congress of the EXECUTE: The Society for Photogrammetry, Commis-<br>
International Society for Photogrammetry, Commis-<br>
Shon V. Kyoto University
- Applications, *Photogrammetric Engineering*, Vol. <sub>(1981)</sub> and the Concept of "Photo-Variant" Self-<br>38, No. 5, pp. 447-451. (2016) Calibration and its Application in Block Adjustment Calibration and its Application in Block Adjustment with Bundles, *Photogrammetria*, Vol. 36, No. 1, pp.
- *E*kamoto, A., 1982. Orientation and Construction of Models, Part IV: Further Considerations in Close-Koelbl, O., 1972. Selbstkalibrierung von Aufnahmekam-<br>mern, Bildmessung und Luftbildwesen, No. 1, Range Photogrammetry, Photogrammetric Engi*neering and Remote Sensing* (to be published).

Kuoto University

# **Southeast Asian Regional Conference on Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing Education**

# **Kuala Lumpur, Malayasia 16-19 May 1983**

This conference-under the auspices of Working Group VI-8 of the International Society for Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing and the Technical University of Malaysia-will consider the following among other relevant topics:

- Development of unified curicula for all accepted levels of technical education, i.e., those of (a) university level professionals, (b) technologists, and (c) auxiliary personnel.
- Interinstitutional cooperation in terms of (a) exchange of teachers, (b) collaborative research, (c) cooperation in sharing educational materials such as hardware and software, and (d) cooperative publications.
- Development of regional professional papers and periodical publications aimed at exchanging technical information in regional languages where feasible.

For further information please contact

Prof. Dr. S. K. Ghosh Department of Photogrammetry Lava1 University Quebec G1K 7P4, Canada

# **Seminar Practical Microdensitometry**

# **Rochester, New York 11-1 4 April 1983**

This four-day seminar, to be presented at the Rochester Institute of Technology, has been created for engineers and scientists who need a fuller understanding of microdensitometry in order to use microdensitometers more effectively in image analysis, image digitization, and other applications.

The Seminar will cover both theory and practice. The Joyce-Lobe1 Microdensitometers 3CS and 6 from Vickers Instruments, Inc. and the Micro-10 System from Perkin-Elmer will be used for demonstrations and exercises. Seminar participants will perform practical exercises to configure the microdensitometer and analyze MTF and calibration data. Software requirements will be discussed, and practical solutions for common microdensitometer problems will be offered.

For more information on the content of the seminar, call James J. Jakuboski at (716) 726-6832. TO register, contact

> Val Johnson T&E Center Seminar Coordinator Rochester Institute of Technology One Lomb Memorial Drive Rochester, NY 14623 Tele. (716) 475-2758