Systematic and Random Variations in Thematic Mapper Digital Radiance Data

M. **J.** *Duggin* and *H. Sakhacut*

308 Bray Hall, College of Environmental Science and Forestry, State University of New York, Syracuse, NY 13210

J. *Lindsay*

Systems and Applied Sciences Corporation, 1572 Spring Hill Road, Vienna, VA 22180

> ABSTRACT: **We report studies of the systematic and random variations in digital radiance data obtained by the Landsat-4 and Landsat-5 Thematic Mappers over an agricultural crop area which was apparently uniform and cloud-free. Systematic variations appeared to be time**dependent and bandpass-dependent. The predominant effect seemed to be random varia**tions, which appeared to be in keeping with those expected from prior investigations. It is** suggested that uncorrected variations will provide a limitation on the nonphotointerpretative **analysis of images.**

INTRODUCTION

RADIANCE RECORDED by any remote sensing in-
strument will contain noise which will consist of both systematic and random variations. Systematic variations may be due to sun-target-sensor geometry (e.g., Duggin, 1985; Kirchner and Schnetzler, 1981) atmospheric conditions (e.g., Dave, 1978) and the interaction of the spectral characteristics of the sensor with those of upwelling radiance (e.g., Slater, 1979; Duggin, 1985; Markham and Barker, 1985). Random variations in the data may be caused by variations in the nature and in the heterogeneity of the ground cover (e.g., Daughtry *et al.,* 1981; Duggin, 1978, 1983, 1985), by variations in atmospheric transmission, and by the interaction of these variations with the sensing device (e.g., Duggin, 1985). In addition, systematic and random errors can arise from the sensor itself.

It is important to be aware of the extent of random and systematic errors in recorded radiance data across ostensibly uniform ground areas in order to assess the impact on quantitative image analysis procedures for both the single date and the multidate cases. It has been shown that random variations in irradiance and in reflectance characteristics (caused, for example, by variations in the nature and in the heterogeneity of ground cover) can cause variations in the discriminability of vegetation stress (Duggin, 1983) and that random variations in unresolved (subpixel-sized) cloud can affect discriminability of agricultural targets (Duggin *et* **al.,** 1984). Duggin and Schoch (1984) and Wardley (1984) showed that the

impact of random variations in irradiance, ground reflectance, and atmospheric transmittance on target discriminability can be angle-dependent. Systematic variations in radiance due to scan angle have been observed by many workers in, for example, even Multispectral Scanner (MSS) data with a scan angle range of 11.56" (Kaneko and Engvall, 1977) and in Advanced Very High Resolution Radiometer (AVHRR) data which has a much larger scan angular range of $\pm 55^{\circ}$ (e.g., Duggin and Saunders, 1984; Duggin and Piwinski, 1984). The cause of the angular dependence of spectral radiance (and therefore of discriminability) is the systematic variation in the reflectance properties of ground cover with illumination and with viewing angles (e.g., Bauer *et* al., 1979; Kollenkark *et al.,* 1982; Smith, 1983). In the case of emitted radiance, there is a dependence of emissivity on view angles (e.g., Kilnes *et* **al.,** 1980; Kimes and Kirchner, 1983; Kimes, 1983). Atmospheric scattering and transmission also vary with viewing and with illumination angles (e.g., Turner, 1978; Dave, 1978). The combination of these systematic variations in factors controlling radiance levels gives rise to upwelling radiance which varies with viewing geometry in a target-dependent manner (e.g., Kirchner and Schnetzler, 1981; Duggin, 1985). There is the possibility that systematic effects may be corrected for if they are properly understood.

It was our intention in this study to examine the systematic and the random variations in digital radiance data recorded in each band by the Thematic Mapper (TM) over crop areas which were ostensibly

0099-1 112/85/5109-1427\$02.25/0 $© 1985$ American Society for Photogrammetry **and Remote Sensing**

PHOTOGRAMMETRIC ENGINEERING AND REMOTE SENSING, **Vol. 51, No. 9, September 1985, pp. 1427-1434.**

The Thematic Mappers on Landsat-4 and Landsat- not vegetated for the areas studied.
5 have narrower bandpasses and a wider range of In the first analysis, a mask was generated. Three 5 have narrower bandpasses and a wider range of wavebands than the MSS or the AVHRR, and so wavebands than the MSS or the AVHRR, and so swaths were used across the full image: each was findings for the MSS and for the AVHRR cannot 300 lines deep and started at lines 500, 1900, and automatically be assumed to apply to the TM, even 5000. Slices which were 16 pixels wide were taken
though the scan angle range for the AVHRR can be in these swaths. The slices had starting pixel numthough the scan angle range for the AVHRR can be restricted to that of the TM. For example, the surestricted to that of the TM. For example, the su-
pers 300 (bottom two swaths), 500, 1000, 2000, 2000, perior spatial resolution of the TM (30 m IFOV as 3000, 4000, 5000, 6000, 6500, 6700 (top two swaths). perior spatial resolution of the TM (30 m IFOV as 3000, 4000, 5000, 6000, 6500, 6700 (top two swaths).

compared to 83 m IFOV for the Landsat-4 and The offset is related to the Earth rotation correction compared to 83 m IFOV for the Landsat-4 and The offset is related to the Earth rotation correction
Landsat-5 MSS and 1 km for the AVHRR) will in-
of the Landsat image. In this manner, a nonbiased Landsat-5 MSS and 1 km for the AVHRR) will in-
crease the random variation between radiance analysis was performed by analyzing all of the pixels crease the random variation between radiance analysis was performed by analyzing all of the pixels
values recorded from individual pixels located in ap- in each slice over an apparently uniform, cloud-free values recorded from individual pixels located in ap- in each slice over an apparently uniform, cloud-free

areas. We wished to see if there were seasonal effects upon both random and systematic variations in digital radiance data recorded in the Thematic
Mapper bandpasses.

In this analysis, we were constrained by data availability; the data which we hoped to obtain at various stages over agricultural regions including vided by the mean; CV) in radiance, except to alter
one in Jowa (path 27, row 31 on the World Befer. the CV by about 15 percent in the case of TM band one in Iowa (path 27, row 31 on the World Refer-
the CV by about 15 percent in the case of TM band
ence System (wes)) were not available at all of the 6 (Barker, 1984; Barker *et al.*, 1984). At the same ence System (w_{RS})) were not available at all of the 6 (Barker, 1984; Barker *et al.*, 1984). At the same
growth stages requested. Some acquisitions were time, the variance and the coefficient of variation growth stages requested. Some acquisitions were time, the variance and the coefficient of variance and the coefficient of variance of variance and the coefficient of variance of variance $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ cloudy, and unforeseen circumstances prevented were calculated for each slice.
the acquisition of other scenes in time to perform Figure 1 shows the mean digital radiance values the acquisition of other scenes in time to perform
the analysis for this report.

restricted to one region and are listed in the fol-
lowing table. In each case, we used radiometrically function of scan angle (starting pixel value for the lowing table. In each case, we used radiometrically function of scan angle (starting pixel value for the corrected P-type Computer Compatible Tape (CCT) slice) and of mean scan line for the swath from which data (NASA, 1983) for wrs path 27 , row 31, which covers a corn/soybean area in Iowa. In August 1982, figures, the northernmost swath (lower mean line only 4-band data were available. In the following number) is closest to the viewer, west is to the left only 4-band data were available. In the following number) is closest to the viewer, west is to the left to the right table, sun azimuth and elevation are shown. How- (lowest pixel number) and east is to the right
ever when considering the view azimuth angle it (highest pixel number). There was no attempt to ever, when considering the view azimuth angle it (highest pixel number). There was should be recalled that the track of the spacecraft is register images in this investigation. should be recalled that the track of the spacecraft is register images in this investigation.
supproximately 10[°] from N, so that radiance is register. It is seen that there is a significant systematic approximately 10° from N, so that radiance is re-
corded from a linear swath defined by movement of epproximately 10 from 11, 30 that radiate the variation with scan angle before harvest, with a su-
corded from a linear swath defined by movement of variation with scan angle before harvest, with a su-
the mirror, which go from N (when the scanner mirror looks east) to 280° The systematic variation is over 10 percent between from N (when the scanner mirror looks west). the edges and the center of the image and is appar-

using the Landsat Assessment System for cloud and tained within each slice of each single-band image. for uniformity. That is, to ensure that the scene did The CVs are also plotted as a function of pixel and indeed consist entirely of crop areas for those re- mean scan line for the slices considered in the mask indeed consist entirely of crop areas for those re-

uniform and which were free from visible cloud. gions examined. Only the roads between fields were
The Thematic Mappers on Landsat-4 and Landsat- not vegetated for the areas studied.

300 lines deep and started at lines 500, 1900, and
5000. Slices which were 16 pixels wide were taken parently uniform areas. agricultural region. Training within these regions on The analysis was performed on several scenes at areas which appeared uniform on the image was not different growth stages. We considered agricultural performed in this analysis, as it was considered that performed in this analysis, as it was considered that
this would have resulted in bias deriving from unsubstantiated, a priori assumptions as to the nature
of the target. The mean digital counts for each slice were calculated for each bandpass. The digital counts were used since we were interested in vari-**ANALYSIS** ations within images and considered that errors due
we were constrained by data to offset would not seriously affect other estimates of coefficients of variation (standard deviation di-
vided by the mean; CV) in radiance, except to alter

the analysis for this report.

The images which we discuss in this report are only four bands were available for analysis for this The images which we discuss in this report are Only four bands were available for analysis for this restricted to one region and are listed in the fol-
mage. The mean radiance values are shown as a slice) and of mean scan line for the swath from which
the slices were taken. In this and in all subsequent

perimposed random variation of about 5 percent. ently close to symmetric about nadir for TM band 1 of the preharvest image. The effect becomes more pronounced in band 2 and is almost 25 percent in band 3. There is a strongly asymmetric 30 percent change across the image for TM band 4 of the same image. There appears to be a general decrease in mean pixel radiance from south (mean scan line 5150) to north (mean scan line 650) in this image, coupled with some change in the apparent scan angle dependence. Also shown are the coefficients Firstly, in each case, the data were screened of variation (CVs) for the pixel radiance values con-

FIG. 1. The means and coefficients of variation of digital radiance data for rectangular 4800-pixel sample areas (slices) described in the text, plotted as a function of scan angle (pixel) and of mean scan line for the preharvest image no. 4001716261, path 27, row 31, 2 August 1982. Only four bands of data were available.

TM DIGITAL RADIANCE DATA

1429

superimposed on the image. There is approximately a 50 percent variation in CV about nadir for bands **1** through **3,** with a superimposed random variation in the CV and a systematic decreasing trend toward the north portion of the image (decreasing mean scan line). Band **4** (the reflected infrared region) shows mainly random variation with a slight monotonically increasing trend in CV from west to east. It is noteworthy that the CV is generally less than **10** percent for band **1** and **15** percent for band **2** but rises to nearly **30** percent for band **3** (whose digital values are lower than bands **1** or **2),** falling back to less than approximately **17** percent in band **4.** There does appear to be a general trend for the CV to decrease from south to north.

The same region (path **27,** row **31)** was viewed again after harvest **(21** October **1982).** A color infrared rendition of this image on the interactive computer screen suggested that this area was mostly stubble. The mean digital counts for bands **3, 4, 5,** and **6** are shown in Figure **2** as a function of the same variables as for Figure **1.** Bands **1** and **2** showed behavior similar to band 3, and the behavior of band **7** was similar to that of band **5.** The mean digital counts appear to show a general trend decreasing approximately **10** percent from west to east in the image. The reverse is the case in band **6,** the thermal infrared channel. There appears to be a slight decreasing trend in radiance values from south to north in the image, and the noise (random variation) in digital radiance values appears to be approximately ± 10 percent. The digital values are lower after harvest except in band 3. The coefficients of variation for this image are shown as a function of pixel and mean scan line in Figure **2.** They are all slightly higher than in the case of the preharvest image for the first four bands, are around **20** percent in band 5, **30** percent in band **7,** but less than 5 percent in band **6.** However, there is an apparently anomalous increase in CV at the far east side of the image, which was not readily explicable from image data of the slices examined on the interactive computer screen. The most obvious possibility would be a greater heterogeneity in ground cover at the eastern edge of the scene. For this image, an examination of the scene and of the analyzed slices in a false color rendition on the interactive computer screen suggested that patches of vigorous vegetation existed in what appeared to be stubble or soil areas. The distribution of these scene elements might have, for some reason, been more heterogeneous toward the extreme east of the image.

The same area (path **27,** row **31)** was examined using a later (Landsat-5) acquisition obtained on 15 August **1984** (image number **5016716293).** For this image, in order to avoid slight, localized cumulus cloud it was necessary to start the three swaths at lines **2072, 3900** and **4900.** Mean digital radiance values are shown for bands 3, **4, 5,** and **6** for the

test areas (slices) as a function of pixel and of mean scan line in Figure **3.** Again bands **1** and **2** behaved similarly to band 3 and band **7** behaved similarly to band 5. Bands 1 to 4 show weaker systematic trends than the **2** August **1982** image of the same area. Random variation appears generally to be of the order ± 5 percent in digital radiance values, while there is no obvious symmetry in the systematic component of variations in bands **1** to **3.** Band **4** shows approximately a **20** percent decrease in radiance for the southern portion of the image, but no such trend in the middle or for the northern region. The dependence on mean scan line seems pronounced only for bands 5 to **7.** Band **6** does show the same general increase to the east as for the October **1982** image, analyzed in Figure **2.**

The coefficients of variation of the pixel radiance values for bands 3, 4, **5,** and **6** are shown in Figure **3** for the windowed areas (slices) described by the overlay mask, plotted as a function of pixel and of mean line. The CVs were found to be below **0.08** for band **1** and generally below **0.10** for band **2,** with a random variation of up to **30** percent and with only a slight systematic decreasing trend to the northeast. In band 3, the CV is **0.25** at the west edge of the image, falling to **0.15** or less toward the east. The decrease is more pronounced in the north of the image than is the case in the south. In band **4,** the CV is generally less than **0.18,** with a random variation of up to ± 20 percent and a slight decrease from west to east in the south of the image. The situation is similar in band **5.** The thermal infrared (IR) band, TM band **6,** shows CV values less than **0.04,** which exhibit a general decrease in trend from west to east in the south of the image and a general decrease from south to north, which is more pronounced in the east of the image. Band 7 has higher CV values (up to **0.40).** There is a decrease from west to east and a slight decreasing trend from south to north. However, while the thermal IR band (band **6)** shows the northeast region of the image to exhibit the lowest variance, bands 4, **5,** and **7** (reflected-to mid-IR) show high variance. This may indicate a higher heterogeneity in growth stage in this region.

The analysis on the August **1982** image, when compared to that performed on the August **1984** image suggests that the systematic variations across an image depend on time. This may be related to the substantial nonuniform changes with Julian date in both the level and angular dependence of radiance recorded over the crop areas of the United States Great Plains by the AVHRR, as reported, for instance, by Duggin and Piwinski **(1984).** Atmospheric changes and variations at ground level can occur between image acquisitions. For example, some cloud was observed on the August **1984** image, while none was observed for the August **1982** image, suggesting that the atmospheric moisture content on the two dates was different.

Factors contributing to radiance changes across

FIG. 2. The mean digital radiance values and coefficients of variation for bands 3, 4, 5, and 6 for the rectangular 4800-pixel sample slices of the mask described in the text, plotted as a function of scan angle (pixel) and of mean scan Line for the postharvest image no. 4009716273, path 27, row 31, 21 October 1982.

1431

FIG. 3. The mean digital radiance values and coefficients of variation for bands 3, 4, 5, and 6 for the rectangular sample slices of the mask described in the text, plotted as a function of scan angle (pixel) and of mean scan line for the preharvest, 15 August 1984 Landsat-5 Thematic Mapper image no. 5016716293, path 27, row 31.

an image are atmospheric changes across the imaged area, together with atmospheric scattering anisotropy and hemispherical-conical spectral reflectance anisotropy which is dependent on sun-target-sensor geometry, as mentioned earlier. However, while these effects will be substantial for a large scan angle range, covering a large area, such as the AVHRR $(\pm 55^{\circ})$, one would expect these effects to be less for the TM, whose scan angle range is only $\pm 7.7^{\circ}$.

It has been noted (Duggin, 1974, 1983) that the random variation to be expected in recorded radiance will arise partly from random variations in atmospheric transmission and partly from variations in irradiance: reported coefficients of variation are approximately 0.06 (Duggin, 1974, 1983). It has also been reported (Duggin, 1983) that ground reflectance measurements made at 80 m spacings in the MSS bandpasses show between 0.05 and 0.20 coefficient of variation. Systematic recorded radiance variation due to atmospheric scattering and bidirectional reflectance factors anisotropy might be expected to give rise to substantial scan angle dependence for large scan angle ranges (such as 55" for the AVHRR). However, random variations might be expected to predominate over systematic variations for the smaller scan angular range $(\pm 7.7^{\circ})$ for the Thematic Mapper. It is also interesting to note that the range of random variation before and after harvest in 1982 is not markedly different and that the same range of variation appears to apply to the August 1984 images.

CONCLUSIONS

This study cannot be considered exhaustive: indeed, it is still in progress as the multidate data continue to arrive. However, several conclusions are suggested by this work. While some systematic trends in radiance values with scan angle were observed prior to harvest over a crop area in 1982, the same pattern was not repeated two years later. It appeared that the random variation in mean digital values recorded from 4800 pixel sample areas at regular intervals across an image in three swaths generally exceeded the systematic variations for the three images studied, and that the coefficients of variation were within those which might be expected to occur from prior measurements. The coefficients of variation of the digital values from the 4800 pixel areas selected as regular intervals across an image showed some scan angle dependence but were more dependent upon bandpass than upon season or upon scan angle.

Random variations may affect image classification accuracy. Further, uncorrected systematic variations across and between images may impose restrictions on the level of classification accuracy which may reasonably be expected from automated classification of single date or multidate, multichannel digital Thematic Mapper data for the quantification and identification of terrestrial features in a nonphotointerpretive fashion. It is therefore important to understand the restrictions which such variations inherent in the digital radiance data may place upon analyses. To this end, further work is needed in which further empirical studies of digital radiance data are used to determine optimum regimes of data acquisition and analyses for selected feature identification and quantification.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We should like to express our appreciation for the cheerful help always provided by the Landsat Science Office and by the staff of the NASA Landsat Assessment System at Goddard Space Flight Center. We especially wish to thank Mark Emmons. This work was supported by NASA contract NAS5- 27595. We would like to thank Joyce Carpenter for typing this manuscript. We wish to thank Brian Markham for his help, suggestions, and support.

REFERENCES

- Barker, J. L., 1984. Relative Radiometric Calibration of Landsat TM Reflective bands: NASA Conference Publication 2326, Vol. 1, pp. 140-180.
- Barker, J. L., Ball, D. L., Lenny, K. C., and Walker, J. A., 1984. Pre-launch Absolute Radiometric Calibration of Landsat-4 Protoflight Thematic Mapper: NASA Conference Publication 2326, Vol. 1, pp. 130- 139.
- Bauer, M. E., Biehl, L. L., Daughtry, C. S. T., Robinson, B. F., and Stoner, E. R., 1979. Final Report: National Aeronautics and Space Administration, AgRISTARS Supporting Research, NAS9-15466, Vol. 1.
- Daughtry, C. S. T., Vanderbilt, **V.** C., and Pollara, V. J., 1981. Variability of Reflectance Measurements with Sensor Altitude and Canopy Type: National Aeronautics and Space Administration, AgRISTARS Supporting Research, NAS-15466:SR-P1-04191.
- Dave, J. **V.,** 1978. Extensive Data Sets of the Diffuse Radiation in Realistic Attnospheric Models with Aerosols and Common Absorbing Gases: *Solar Energy*, v. 21, p. 361.
- Duggin, M. J., 1974. On the Natural Limitations of Target Differentiation by Means of Spectral Discrimination Techniques: *Proceedings of the 9th International Syinposiuin on Reinote Sensing of the Entjironinent,* Ann Arbor, Michigan, pp. 499-516.
	- 1983. The Effect of Irradiation and Reflectance Variability on Vegetation Condition Assessment: *International Journal of Remote Sensing,* v. 4, p. 601.
	- 1985. Factors Limiting the Discrimination and Quantification of Terrestrial Features Using Remotely Sensed Radiance. *lnternational Journal of Remote Sensing,* v. 6, pp. 3-27.
- Duggin, M. J., and Piwinski, D., 1984. Recorded Radiance Indices for Vegetation Monitoring Using NOAA AVHRR Data, Atmospheric and Other Effects in Multitemporal Data Sets. *Applied Optics,* v. 23, pp. 2620- 2623.
- Duggin, M. J., and Saunders, R. W., 1984. In *Satellite*

Sensing of a Cloudy Atinosphere: (ed. A. Henderson-Sellers), Taylor and Frances, London, pp. 241-284.

- Duggin, M. J., and Schoch, L. B., 1984. The Dependence of Target Discriminability on Systematic and Random Variations in Recorded Radiance: *International Journal of Reinote Sensing,* v. 5, pp. 505-510.
- Duggin, M. J., Schoch, L. B., Cunia, T., and Piwinski, D. J., 1984. The Effects of Random and Systematic Variations in Unresolved Cloud on Recorded Radiance and on Target Discriminability: *Applied Optics,* v. 23, p. 387.
- Kaneko, T., and Engvall, J. L., 1977. View Angle Effect in Landsat Imagery: *Proceedings of the 11th Inter*national Symposium on Remote Sensing of the Envi*roninent,* Ann Arbor, Michigan, pp. 945-951.
- Kimes, D. S., 1983. Remote Sensing of Row Crops Structure and Component Temperatures Using Directional Radiometric Temperatures and Inversion Techniques: *Reinote Sensing of the Encironinent,* v. 13, p. 33.
- Kimes, D. S., Idso, S. B., Pinter, P. J., Reginato, R. J., and Jackson, R. D., 1980. View Angle Effects in the Radiometric Measurement of Plant Canopy Temperatures: *Remote Sensing of the Environment*, v. 10, p. 273.
- Kimes, D. S., and Kirchner, J. A., 1983. Directional Radiometric Measurements of Row-Crop Temperatures: *International Journal of Remote Sensing,* v. 4, p. 299.
- Kirchner, J. A., and Schnetzler, C. C., 1981. Simulated Directional Radiances of Vegetation from Satellite Platforms: *International Journal of Remote Sensing*, v. 2, p. 253.
- Kollenkark, J. D., Vanderbilt, V. C., Daughtry, C. S. T., and Bauer, M. E., 1982. Influence of Solar Illumination Angle on Soybean Canopy Reflectance: *Applied Optics,* v. 21, p. 1179.
- Markham, B. L., and Barker, J. L., 1985. Spectral Characterization of the Landsat Thematic Mapper Sensors: NASA Conference Publication 2355, Vol. 2, pp. 235- 276.
- National Aeronautics and Space Administration, 1983. Interface Control Document Between the NASA Goddard Space Flight Center (GSFC) and the Department of the Interior EROS Data Center (EDC) for Landsat-D Thematic Mapper Computer Compatible Tape (CCT-AT, CCT-PT), Revision A: NASA Document LSD-ICD-105.
- Slater, P. N., 1979. A Reexamination of the Landsat MSS: *Photogrammetric Engineering and Remote Sensing, v.* 45, p. 1479.
- Turner, R. E., 1978. Elimination of Atmospheric Effects from Sensor Data: *Proceedings of the 12th Interna*tional Symposium on Remote Sensing of the Environ*rnent,* Ann Arbor, Michigan, pp. 1651-1697.
- Wardley, N., 1984. Vegetation Index Variability as a Function of Viewing Geometry: *International Journal of Remote Sensing,* v. 5, p. 861.

Short Course

Remote Sensing for **Geologists and Geophysicists**

San Francisco, California 11-1 5 November 1985

Alexander Goetz and Lawrence Rowan present an up-to-date, advanced course on the theory and practice of the application of remote sensing techniques to mineral and energy exploration. A unique aspect of the course is hands-on image processing provided courtesy of DIPIX. The course is designed for the student with some familiarity with remote sensing and data handling, gained through experience or study of the suggested reading list material. The course emphasizes the case history approach and will equip the student to interpret aircraft and Landsat data, including high-spectral resolution radiometry.

For further information please contact

Dr. Alexander Goetz Goetz/Rowan Short Course P.O. Box 7 Altadena, CA 91101 Tele. (818) 354-3254