Evaluation of Single-Band-Video and Video-Band-Based Indices for Grassland Phytomass Assessment

J. H. Everitt, D. E. Escobar, and R. Villarreal

Remote Sensing Research Unit, USDA Agricultural Research Service, P. O. Box 267, Weslaco, TX 78596

ABSTRACT: Single-band-video and video-band-based vegetation indices (composite images) were evaluated for their potential to assess phytomass production within grass plots that were fertilized with five rates of nitrogen. Eleven single-band images were acquired by equipping four black-and-white video cameras [three of them visible (0.40 to 0.70 μ m) and one visible/infrared (IR) (0.40 to 1.1 μ m) sensitive] with visible and IR narrowband filters. Thirteen vegetation indices were produced on an image processor from the various single-band (composites) images: green/red, IR/blue, IR/green, IR/yellow-green, IR/yellow, IR/orange, IR/orange-red, IR/dark orange-red, IR/dark red, IR/dark red, IR/dark red, IR/dark red, images (orange-red, red, dark red, and IR) were significant. Moreover, r^2 values calculated from twelve of the indices were significant (all at p = 0.01). The only nonsignificant r^2 coefficient was obtained from regressing TVI digital data on amount of phytomass. Although the significant r^2 coefficients obtained from the indices varied, a qualitative evaluation of the various composite images showed them to be similar for separating among the fertilizer treatments. These results indicate both single-band-video and video-vegetation-indices will be useful to assess the amount of grass phytomass production.

INTRODUCTION

SPECTRAL VARIABLES, including both single band and band transformations have been used extensively in the remote sensing field to monitor the physiological status of vegetation (Tucker, 1979; Carneggie et al., 1983). Examples of transformations are vegetation indices where ratio-based transformed data sets are related to vegetation parameters. Common vegetation indices include the green/red ratio (Kanemasu, 1974), infrared (IR)/red ratio (Colwell, 1974; Tucker, 1979), the normalized difference vegetation index (NDVI = IR-red/IR+red) and transformed vegetation index (TVI = $\sqrt{(IR-red)/(IR+red) + .5)}$ (Rouse et al., 1973, 1974). Several researchers have used single bands and vegetation indices derived from both reflectance data and Landsat image analysis to estimate or assess crop growth and to monitor development and phytomass production on rangelands (Kanemasu, 1974; Carneggie and DeGloria, 1974; Deering et al., 1975; Maxwell, 1976; Tucker, 1979; Richardson et al., 1983; Pinter et al., 1985; Jackson and Pinter, 1986).

Recently, video imaging systems have been developed into remote sensing tools and have been proven useful for vegetation assessment (Edwards, 1982; Vlcek, 1983; Meisner and Lindstrom, 1985; Nixon et al., 1985; Vlcek and King, 1985; Everitt and Nixon, 1985; Nixon et al., 1987). Video data's electronic format makes it compatible with computer image processing techniques, thus allowing applications derived from Landsattype computer processing of the data (Meisner, 1986). Moreover, video cameras have higher light sensitivity than do film cameras, and this permits imaging within narrow spectral bands. Thus, objects can be viewed through narrowband interference filters across a wide spectral range (0.4 to 2.0 µm) (Nixon et al., 1985; Everitt et al., 1987a). Everitt et al. (1986) used red, IR, and IR/red ratio video data for the assessment of phytomass levels in grass plots and found that the IR/red ratio enhanced the viewer's ability to note more differences among phytomass levels than did single-band images. More recently Lulla et al. (1987) used video data in the form of three common vegetation indices (IR/red, IR/green, and IR-red/IR+red) to discriminate successfully among vegetation types in a rangeland environment. No

other information is presently available on the evaluation of video-band-based vegetation indices for assessment of vegetation parameters. Our objective in this study was to evaluate the potential use of various multispectral narrowband video images and video-band-based vegetation indices to discriminate among the phytomass production of grass land field plots with a range of phytomass levels.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The experimental site was a 1.1-ha pasture located at the Texas A&M University, Hoblitzelle Ranch near Mercedes, Texas. The soils and dominant grasses on the site have been described by Everitt *et al.* (1986). A randomized complete block design was used with four replications of five fertilizer treatments: 0, 56, 112, 168, and 224 kg of elemental nitrogen(N)/ha which were applied by broadcasting ammonium nitrate (NH₄CO₃) on 4 March 1985. The area received adequate rain following fertilization, and the grass was growing actively by mid-April when data were collected.

Imagery of the experimental site was taken with four blackand-white Sony* AVC-3450 video cameras, each with a Sony SLO-340 video cassette recorder (0.5-in. Beta format) (Nixon *et al.*, 1985). One of the cameras was modified with an RCA Ultricon (TM) 4875/U camera tube (0.7 in.) to give visible/nearinfrared light (0.30 to 1.1 μ m) sensitivity. The other three cameras had visible light (0.4 to 0.70 μ m) sensitivity only. Imagery was obtained with 11 narrowband filters (Table 1). The infrared (IR) (0.815 to 0.827- μ m) and deep dark red (0.712 to 0.725- μ m) narrowband filters were used with the visible/near-infrared sensitive camera, whereas the other nine filters were used with the visible sensitive cameras. The camera's lens focal length was 50 mm. Imagery of the study site was taken on 15 April 1985, six weeks after fertilization. All imagery was obtained at a 900-m altitude using a Cessna 182 airplane. To obtain imagery with

0099-1112/88/5408-1177\$02.25/0 ©1988 American Society for Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing

^{*}Trade names are included for the readers' benefit and do not imply an endorsement of or a preference for the listed product by the U.S. Department of Agriculture.

TABLE 1. NARROWBAND FILTERS USED ON VIDEO CAMERAS

Filter	Sensitive Waveband (µm)	
Filter		
Blue	0.467 - 0.473	
Green	0.516 - 0.524	
Yellow-green	0.543 - 0.552	
Yellow	0.573 - 0.583	
Orange	0.586 - 0.595	
Orange-red	0.614 - 0.625	
Dark orange-red	0.633 - 0.645	
Red	0.644 - 0.656	
Dark red	0.656 - 0.668	
Deep dark red	0.712 - 0.725	
Infrared	0.815 - 0.827	

the 11 filters, multiple passes were made over the plots between 1200 and 1300 hours under sunny conditions.

Herbaceous phytomass measurements were made concurrent with imagery collection. They were made by clipping vegetation at ground level within four, 50-by-50-cm quadrants within each plot. Phytomass samples were oven-dried for 72 hours at 65°C. For statistical analyses, the four measurements within each plot were averaged.

The video scene for each narrowband filter image (Table 1) was entered digitally into an I2S image processor using a cassette recorder that was interfaced to the image processor's video digitizer through an Edutron time-base corrector. The digitized images were stored on a computer disk and backed up on magnetic tape to avoid loss of data. The image processor was also used to warp the 11 narrowband video scenes to a common geometric base by using the red narrowband image as the base. Thirteen indices were obtained with the 11 narrowband images (Table 2). The 11 simple indices (i.e., IR/red) were directly processed with the I2S software's divide function to produce video composite images. The NDVI and TVI indices were obtained by using the addition-subtraction and division functions of the image processor and a computer program, respectively. Many of the indices used here have been reported on previously by other researchers (Kanemasu, 1974; Rouse et al., 1973, 1974; Tucker, 1979). Because of the large number of narrowband filters available, however, several new indices were evaluated (i.e., IR/blue, IR/yellow, IR/dark red) to determine their potential for assessing phytomass production. The image processor's Train and Prepare Functions were used with the 11 narrowband images and 13 composite images to acquire digital data from each whole plot. The video images shown here were photographed from the image display monitor.

Digital video data were regressed on grass phytomass yield measurements (Steel and Torrie, 1980).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The regression equations and coefficients of determination (r^2) obtained by regressing digital data from the 11 single-band video images on phytomass are given in Table 2. Only the r^2 coefficients obtained by regressing orange-red, red, dark red, and IR digital data on phytomass were significant statistically. Digital data from the three visible bands were inversely related to phytomass, whereas that obtained from the IR band was directly related to phytomass.

A qualitative evaluation of the various single-band images generally concurred with the quantified data. Everitt *et al.* (1986) have previously described the red and IR images and showed that generally three phytomass levels could be seen within the plots. Similar differences could be seen within the orange-red and dark red images of the plots (imagery not shown). The nonfertilized plots could be readily distinguished from the more productive N-fertilized plots (56, 112, 168, and 224 kg N/ha), while plots that received 56 kg N/ha were generally distinguishable from plots that received 112, 168, and 224 kg N/ha. However, plots that received the three highest N levels could not be visually differentiated.

The regression equations and r² coefficients obtained by regressing the digital data from the thirteen video-band-based indices on phytomass are given in Table 3. Twelve of the r^2 coefficients were highly significant (p=0.01), and digital data from all indices were directly related to phytomass. Only the r^2 coefficient for the TVI indice was not significant. Possibly subjecting the video data through the TVI's mathematical formula subdued differences among the treatments. Apparently, ratioing the various video bands, or subjecting them to additionsubtraction functions and then ratioing, normalized the effects of soil background reflectance variations and greatly enhanced differences among treatments. Our results agree with those of previous researchers using indices to evaluate reflectance data and Landsat and film image analysis to estimate vegetation vigor (Colwell, 1974; Kanemasu, 1974; Deering et al., 1975; Tucker, 1979; Richardson et al., 1983; Everitt et al., 1987b).

Figures 1A through 1F portray green/red, IR/orange-red, IR/ deep dark red, NDVI, and TVI composite images, and the plot diagram, respectively, of the fertilized grass plots. Within the green/red image (Figure 1A) control plots (1) had a dark gray image tone that was distinguishable from the lighter gray im-

 TABLE 2.
 REGRESSION EQUATIONS AND COEFFICIENTS OF DETERMINATION (r²) OF DIGITAL COUNT DATA FROM 11 SINGLE-BAND VIDEO SCENES OF THE GRASS PLOTS ON PHYTOMASS (KG/HA).

Dependent Variable	Independent Variable	Equation	r ²
Phytomass	blue digital	y = -5172.2 + 520440/x	0.41 N.S.
"	green "	y = -3795.0 + 763420/x	0.29 N.S.
"	vellow-green "	y = -6455.8 + 1410300/x	0.40 N.S.
"	vellow "	y = -1556.8 + 553530/x	0.35 N.S.
"	orange "	y = -3764.6 + 879530/x	0.43 N.S.
"	orange-red "	y = -5301.0 + 1093000/x	0.61 *
"	dark orange-red "	y = -1331.9 + 410110/x	0.45 N.S.
"	red "	y = -3567.6 + 782500/x	0.58 *
"	dark-red "	y = -3828.2 + 667850/x	0.70 **
"	deep dark red "	y = -6924.0 + 1080200/x	0.39 N.S.
"	IR "	y = -4712.6 + 58.0x	0.67 **

**Significant at 0.01 probability level.

*Significant at 0.05 probability level.

N.S. = not significant

EVALUATION OF SINGLE-BAND-VIDEO AND VIDEO-BASED INDICES

TABLE 3. REGRESSION EQUATIONS AND COEFFICIENTS OF DETERMINATION (r^2) OF DIGITAL COUNT DATA FROM 13 VIDEO INDICE COMPOSITE SCENES OF THE GRASS PLOTS ON PHYTOMASS (KG/HA).

Dependent Variable	Independent Variable	Equation	r ²
Phytomass	green/red digital	u = 13918.0 + 436.93x	0.77**
"	IR/blue "	y = -3538.5 + 70.136x	0.80**
"	IR/green "	y = -3021.2 + 125.89x	0.73**
"	IR/vellow-green "	x = -3072.1 + 195.48x	0.74**
"	IR/vellow "	y = -1251.4 + 112.54x	0.64**
"	IR/orange "	y = -2332.8 + 122.96x	0.74**
"	IR/orange-red "	y = -2535.3 + 30.419x	0.79**
"	IR/dark orange red "	y = -1237.2 + 26.974x	0.71**
"	IR/red "	y = 636.75 + 18.905x	0.72**
"	IR/dark red "	y = -2096.2 + 30.297x	0.85**
"	IR/deep dark red "	y = -4332.0 + 146.31x	0.88**
"	NDVI IR-red/IR + red "	y = -4031.0 + 243.76x	0.63**
"	$TVI \sqrt{(IR-red)/(IR+red)} + .5$	$y_{y} = 14281.0 + 83.85x$	0.51N.S.

**Significant at 0.01 probability level. N.S. = Not significant.



FIG. 1. Green/red (A), IR/orange-red (B), IR/deep dark red (C), NDVI (D), and TVI (E) composite images and plot diagram (F) of grass plots with different levels of nitrogen fertilizer. Treatments: (1) nonfertilized; (2) 56 kg N/ha; (3) 112 kg N/ha; (4) 168 kg N/ha; (5) 224 kg N/ha.

ages of the N-fertilized plots (2, 3, 4, and 5). Plots that received 56 kg N/ha (2) were generally distinguishable from plots that received 112, 168, and 224 kg N/ha (3, 4, and 5, respectively). Differences in image tones among the plots that received the three higher N levels were more subtle, but within most blocks the 224 kg N/ha treatment plots gave a more uniform whitish-gray image that was separable from plots that received 112 and 168 kg N/ha.

The IR/orange-red, IR/deep dark red, and NDVI composite images (Figures 1B, 1C, and 1D, respectively) were very similar. Differences among the various treatments in the IR/orange-red, IR/deep dark red, and NDVI images were comparable to those in the green/red image, except that the N fertilized plots had a more pronounced whitish-gray response in these three scenes. This was attributed to the high reflectivity within the IR band. Figure 1E shows the TVI composite image of the plots. Although the r^2 obtained from regressing the TVI digital data on phytomass was not significant statistically, the image is shown here. More variability can be seen among the various treatments within the TVI image than in the other composite images. However, plots receiving the two highest N levels (224 and 168 kg N/ha) generally can be separated from each other and from the other treatment plots.

CONCLUSIONS

Both single-band and video-band-based vegetation indices can be used to assess or estimate the amount of grass phytomass production, but the video-band indices were superior to singleband images. Numerous vegetation indices (e.g., green/red, IR/ blue, IR/orange-red, IR/dark red, NDVI) were used successfully to discriminate among grass plots with variable amount of phytomass, but no index was superior to another, excluding the TVI. The large number of narrowband filters available for various wavebands makes video a valuable remote sensing tool because the multispectral images can be subjected to computer image processing techniques to produce numerous vegetation indices and digital data can be obtained form these various band combinations. These findings should be useful to range resource managers interested in using remote sensing techniques to assess comparisons of phytomass production on rangelands.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Dr. Mark Hussey, Mario Alaniz, Ben Gonzalez, and Saul Moreno for their assistance in the field, and Rene Davis for obtaining the video imagery.

REFERENCES

- Carneggie, D. M., and S. D. DeGloria, 1974. Determining range condition and forage production potential in California from ERTS-1 imagery. Proc. 9th Int. Symp. on Remote Sensing of Environment. pp. 1051–1059.
- Carneggie, D. M., D. J. Schrumpf, and D. M. Mouat, 1983. Rangeland Applications. *Manual of Remote Sensing* (Robert N. Colewell, Ed.), Am. Soc. of Photogrammetry. Falls Church, Virginia. pp. 2325– 2384.
- Colwell, J. E. 1974. Vegetation canopy reflectance. Remote Sensing of Environment 3: 175–183.
- Deering, D. W., J. W. Rouse, R. H. Haas, and J. A. Schell, 1975. Mea-

suring "forage production" on grazing units from LANDSAT MSS data. *Proc 10th Int. Symp. on Remote Sensing of Environment.* pp. 1169–1178.

- Edwards, G. J., 1982. Near-infrared aerial video evaluation for freeze damage. Proc. Fla. State Hort. Soc. 95: 1–3.
- Everitt, J. H., D. E. Escobar, M. A. Alaniz, and M. R. Davis, 1987a. Using airborne mid-infrared (1.45 to 2.0 um) video imagery for distinguishing plant species and soil conditions. *Remote Sensing of Environment* 22: 423–428.
- Everitt, J. H., D. E. Escobar, M. A. Alaniz, and M. A. Hussey, 1987b. Drought-stress detection of buffelgrass with color-infrared aerial photography and computer-aided image processing. *Photogrammetric Engineering and Remote Sensing* 53: 1255–1258.
- Everitt, J. H., M. A. Hussey, D. E. Escobar, P. R. Nixon, and B. Pinkerton, 1986. Assessment of grassland phytomass with airborne video imagery. *Remote Sensing of Environment* 20: 299–306.
- Everitt, J. H. and P. R. Nixon, 1985. False color video imagery: a potential remote sensing tool for range management. *Photogrammetric Engineering and Remote Sensing* 51: 675–679.
- Jackson, R. D., and P. J. Pinter, Jr, 1986. Spectral response of architecturally different wheat canopies. *Remote Sensing of Environment* 20: 43–56.
- Kanemasu, E. T., 1974. Seasonal canopy reflectance patterns of wheat, sorghum, and soybean. *Remote Sensing of Environment* 3: 43–47.
- Lulla, K., P. Mausel, D. Skelton, and W. Kramber, 1987. An evaluation of video-band-based vegetation indices. Proc. 11th Biennial Workshop on Color Aerial Photography and Videography in the Plant Sciences. Am. Soc. of Photogrammetry. Falls Church, Virginia. pp. 270–279.
- Maxwell, E. L., 1976. Multivariate system analysis of multispectral imagery. Photogrammetric Engineering and Remote Sensing 42: 1173–1186.
- Meisner, D. E., 1986. Fundamentals of airborne video remote sensing. *Remote Sensing of Environment* 19: 63–79.
- Meisner, D. E., and O. M. Lindstrom, 1985. Design and operation of a color infrared aerial video system. *Photogrammetric Engineering and Remote Sensing* 51: 555–560.

- Nixon, P. R., D. E. Escobar, and R. L. Bowen, 1987. A multispectral false color video imaging system for remote sensing applications. *Proc. 11th Biennial Workshop Color Aerial Photography and Videography in the Plant; Sciences.* Amer. Soc. for Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing, Falls Church, Virginia. pp. 295–305.
- Nixon, P. R., D. E. Escobar, and R. M. Menges, 1985. A multiband video system for quick assessment of vegetal condition and discrimination of plant species. *Remote Sensing of Environment* 17: 203– 208.
- Pinter, Jr., P. J., R. D. Jackson, C. E. Ezra, and H. W. Gausman, 1985. Sun-angle and canopy-architecture effects on the spectral reflectance of six wheat cultivars. *Int. J. Remote Sensing* 6: 1813–1825.
- Richardson; A. J., J. H. Everitt, and H. W. Gausman, 1983. Radiometric estimation of biomass and nitrogen content of Alicia grass. *Remote Sensing of Environment* 13: 179–184.
- Rouse, J. W., R. H. Hass, J. A. Schell, and D. W. Deering, 1973. Monitoring vegetation systems in the Great Plains with ERTS-I. Proc. 3rd ERTS Symp., NASA SP-351. pp. 309–317.
- Rouse, J. W., R. H. Haas, J. A. Schell, D. W. Deering, and J. C. Harlan, 1974. Monitoring the Vernal Advancement and Retrogradation (Greenwave Effect) of Natural Vegetation. NASA/GSFC Type III, Final Report, Greenbelt, Maryland. 371 p.
- Steel, R. G. D., and J. H. Torrie, 1980. Priniciples and Procedures of Statistics. McGraw-Hill, New York. 481 p.
- Tucker, C. J., 1979. Red and photographic infrared linear combinations for monitoring vegetation. *Remote Sensing of Environment* 8: 127–150.
- Vlcek, J., 1983. Videography: Some remote sensing applications. Proc. 49th Annual Meeting Amer. Soc. of Photogrammerty. Amer. Soc. of Photogrammetry. Fall Church, Virginia. pp. 63–69.
- Vlcek, J., and D. King, 1985. Development and use of a 4-camera video system. Proc. 19th Int. Symp. on Remote Sensing of Environment. pp. 1051–1059.

(Received 28 December 1987; accepted 19 April 1988)

New Sustaining Member ILFORD Photo Corporation

West 70 Century Road, Paramus, NJ 07653 Telephone 201-265-6000; fax 201-265-0894

WITH OVER 100 years of experience manufacturing photographic films, papers, chemicals and equipment, IL-FORD has long been a major force in international photographic markets. As part of Ciba-Geigy, the international chemical group based in Basle, Switzerland, the ILFORD Group headquartered in London has selling companies in five continents and manufacturing facilities in the US, the UK, France and Switzerland. Noted worldwide for technological innovation and quality, ILFORD was the first manufacturer to produce a variable-contrast black-and-white paper; the first with a chromogenic black-and-white film; and is the patent holder of the Cibachrome color print process, unparalleled for its color fidelity, resolution and permanence. ILFORD is also a world leader in holographic research and materials manufacturing.

ILFORD Photo Corporation, the supplier of ILFORD products in the US, provides a full line of black-and-white films, papers and Cibachrome color print and transparency materials as well as chemicals and equipment to support these products. ILFORD Aerial Films, utilized by the military and private sectors in the UK and other countries for many years, are now being made available to US aerial film users.

ILFORD offers two aerial films – FP3 and HP5 – each with unique advantages. Both FP3 and HP5 offer greater sharpness, finer grain and higher resolution than comparable films. FP3 is a medium-speed emulsion coated on a 4/1000 clear polyester base, used in medium-altitude vertical and low-altitude oblique photography. HP5 is higher speed emulsion coated on a 4/1000 blue polyester base. Extra halation protection and higher speed make HP5 an excellent film for loweraltitude verticals and bright-weather conditions. A unique feature of both FP3 and HP5 is their considerable processing latitude. The contrast/time curve of these films flattens out when optimum contrast is achieved compared to other aerial films which have very steep curves throughout the development process. The films can be processed in all known aerial chemicals and their extreme tolerance to over and under development ensures excellent results in adverse conditions. Both films also have extended shelf life properties.

ILFORD Black-and-White Papers are available in fiber and resin-coated bases and in graded and variable contrast emulsions. ILFORD papers feature neutral image tones, clean whites, rich blacks, full tonality, and consistent grade spacing.

Cibachrome color print and transparency materials are utilized for photographic reproduction of color positive originals. The pure azo dye emulsion of Cibachrome affords excellent resolution, color fidelity, and purity and unrivaled color permanence.

ILFORD products are available direct from ILFORD Photo Corporation and through major dealers of photographic materials. For further information on ILFORD products, dial 1-800-631-2522.